

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	<u>, </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,659	01/17/2001	Michael R. Sansoucy	498-221 CON 6244	
7:	590 04/09/2002			
Salvatore J. Abbruzzese, Esq.			EXAMINER	
6900 Jericho Tu	-		THISSELL, JEREMY	
Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/764,659	SANSOUCY, MICHAEL R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeremy T. Thissell	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	lanuary 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-36</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		aminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e)(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 28, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lynn (US 5,549,651).

Lynn teaches all the claimed subject matter (see figure 1). The device has an inlet (to the right in fig. 1), and an outlet (to the left), and a transverse conduit (18) with a biased occluding piston (60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynn in view of Cohen (US 6,162,201).

Lynn teaches all the claimed subject matter except for the occluding member having an internal magnet and being biased by a magnetic force. Cohen teaches a movable valve occluder biased in a conventional manner by a magnetic force acting on

Application/Control Number: 09/764,659

Art Unit: 3763

a magnetic component of the occluder (see inter alia claim 42). Biasing members such

Page 3

as springs, elastomers, and magnets are commonly used in valve structures to bias

occluding members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use

substitute the magnet of Cohen for the elastomer of Lynn as it seems that the device

would work equally as well with either one, and the two are generally recognized in the

art to be equivalents.

Claims 31-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Lynn in view of Freitas et al (US 5,034,000).

Lynn teaches all the claimed subject matter except for the occluding member

being a spring. Freitas teaches a movable valve occluder biased in a conventional

manner by a spring (58). Biasing members such as springs, elastomers, and magnets

are commonly used in valve structures to bias occluding members. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the spring of Freitas for the

elastomer of Lynn as it seems that the device would work equally as well with either

one, and the two are generally recognized in the art to be equivalents.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new

ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,659

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

This action is being made NON-FINAL in view of new grounds of rejection.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeremy T. Thissell whose telephone number is (703)

305-5261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday through

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached at (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for

regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

BRIAN L.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

April 4, 2002

Page 4